Country

Italy

Trait category:

Reproduction-calving Workability Conformation Individual trait(s):

Calving performance (direct) Milking speed Udder Locomotion Other

Holstein Friesian: ANAFI Via Bergamo 292 26100 Cremona, Italy Telephone +39 372 474 235 Facsimile +39 372 474 203 E-mail anaficr@imicilea.cilea.it

Brown Swiss: Associazione Nazionale Allevatori Bovini Della Razza Bruna (ANARB) Loc. Ferlina 204 37012 Bussolengo (vr), Italy Telephone +39 45 676 0111 Facsimile +39 45 715 6655 E-mail brown@mbox.vol.it

Italian Simmental: Associazione Nazionale Allevatori Pezzata Rossa Italiana (ANAPRI) Via R. Battistig 28 33100 Udine, Italy Telephone +39 432 51 01 87 Facsimile +39 432 26 137

ITALY

Reproduction calving traits	Calving performance (direct) Holstein Friesian Scored in 5 categories; easy calving (1), assisted by only one person (2), difficult calving (3), caesarean birth (4), embryotomy (5)		
Breed(s)			
Trait definition and unit(s) of measuring			
Method of measuring and collecting data	Scored by farmer and collected by milk recorders		
Time period for data inclusion	Since 1987		
Age groups considered	All		
Genetic parameters	$h^2_{\text{calving ease (direct)}} = 0.10$		
Sire categories	All bulls		
Environmental effects pre-adjustment evaluation model	None Herd, month of calving, age of the dam, sex of calf		
Base for age adjustment	None		
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	None		
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	ST BLUP SM		
System validation	Data quality control, checks on model suitability, genetic trend estimation, variations overtime check		
Expression of proof	EBV standardized with $M = 0$ and $SD = 1$, and expressed in three classes of difficulty: F (easy, less than -1), M (medium between -1 and +1), D (difficult, higher than +1)		
Genetic (reference) base	Fixed base of cows born in 1990, changed every 5 years		
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	≥ 100 calves in 20 herds		
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	Two; December, June		
Use in total merit index	No		
Key reference on methodology applied	Jansen, G. & M. Serra, 1992. Valutazione genetica per ladifficoltà al parto. Bianco Nero 1 Philipsson, J., 1979. Sire evaluation standards and breeding strategies for limiting dystocia and stillbirth. Livest. Prod. S 6: 111		

Workability traits	Milkir	ng speed
Brood(c)		
Diced(s)	[H] [D]	Holstein Friesian
	[8] [S]	BIUWN SWISS Italian Simmental
Trait definition and		
unit(s) of measuring	[11]	milking (1)
	(B)	Time from the start to the end of milking adjusted
		for milk yield
	[S]	Scored on a linear 1-3 point scale from slow (1) to
		fast (3)
Method of measuring and	[H]	Scored by farmer and collected by recorders twice
collecting data		a year
	[B]	Measured by technicians
T:	[S]	Scored by farmer and collected by classifier
inclusion	[H]	Since 1994
	[B]	Since 1972
A go groups considered	[5]	Since 1989
Age groups considered	[H]	All milking cows born after 1990
	[ط] [2]	1 st Instation
Genetic narameters		1 factation, at an age between 20 and 38 months
	Inj Inj	$h_{\text{milking speed}}^{\circ} = 0.06$
	[D] [S]	$h_{\text{milking speed}}^{\text{milking speed}} = 0.24$ $h_{\text{milking speed}}^{2} = 0.11$
Sire categories		
Environmental effects	[11,0,0]	
pre-adjustment	IH B SI	None
evaluation model	[H,D,S] [H]	Herd x year x semestor porter
	[B]	Age, stage, herd x milking equipment toobalision
		error
	<u>[S]</u>	Herd x year, season, age, days in milk
ase for age adjustment	[H,B,S]	None
lse of genetic groups	[H]	Relationships, no genetic groups
nd/or relationships	[B]	Genetic groups by birth year
	[S]	Relationships among evaluated bulls
fethod (model) of genetic	[H]	ST BLUP AM
Valuation	[B,S]	ST BLUP SM
ystem validation	[H]	Data quality control, checks on model suitability
	f b =-	genetic trend estimation, variations overtime check
	[B,S]	
xpression of proof	[H]	PTA, expected percentage of slow milking daughters
	[B]	EBV in kilogram milk per minute
	[S]	RBV standardized with $M = 100$ and SD = 12

ITALY

Workability traits continued Genetic (reference) base	Milking speed		
	(H) [B] [S]	Fixed, cows born in 1990. Changed every 5 years Bulls born in 1980 Fixed, sires born between 1985 and 1987	
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	[H] [B] [S]	 ≥ 50 daughters in 20 herds ≥ 5 daughters ≥ 10 daughters 	
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	[H] [B] [S]	Two; December, June Two; January, July Two; April, October	
Use in total merit index	[H,B,S]	No	
Key reference on methodology applied	[H] [S]	Canavesi, F. & E. Santus, 1996. Cosa fare per la mungibilità? Bianco Nero, 3: 9-10 Baiocco, C., L. Buttazzoni & D. Vicario, 1994. Nuovi indic genetici per la valutazione dei tori. L' Informatore Agrario, 26: 55-59	

Conformation traits	Udder:	(H)	fore udder attachment strength
	ouder.	[11]	rear udder attachment strengtn, rear udder attachment height, rear udder attachment width, ligament, udder depth, teat
		[B]	fore udder attachment, rear udder height, rear udder width, rear udder depth, teats-side view, teats-rear view, udder balance, udder cleft, udder overall
		[S]	fore udder, rear udder, udder support, teat conformation, teat placement, extra teats, udder overall
	Locomotio	n: [H]	rear legs, feet angle
		[B]	rear legs-side view, pastern, hoofs
		[S]	leg angularity-side view, rear leg bone quality, feet angle, claw closeness
	Other:	[H]	stature, strength, body depth, rump angle, rump width, final score, angularity overall
		[B]	top line, stature, strength, body depth, rump angle, rump length, rump width, tail attachment, overall general appearance, body capacity overall, dairyness overall
		[S]	body length, body width, body depth, body size overall, rear muscularity, fore muscularity, muscularity overall, shoulder, topline, rump angle, type overall
3reed(s)	[H] H [B] B [S] It	olstein Fr rown Swi alian Sim	iesian ss mental
Trait definition and init(s) of measuring	[H,B] In sc lir	Individual traits are scored on a linear 1-50 point scale. Final score/general appearance is scored on a linear 1-100 point scale	
	[S] A	ll traits ar	e scored on a linear 1-9 point scale
Aethod of measuring and ollecting data	[H,B,S] So	cored by c	classifier

collecting data	[,-,2]	Sector by classifici
Time period for data inclusion	[H] [B] [S]	Last 5 years Since 1985 Since 1080
	ျပ	Since 1989

Conformation traits	Udder Locomot Other	ion
A crowna considered	(HBS)	1 [#] calvers
Age groups considered		$h^2 = 0.15 \text{ to } 0.20$
Genetic parameters	[H]	$h_{udder traits}^{2} = 0.15 \text{ to } 0.29$ $h_{locomotion traits}^{2} = 0.16 \text{ to } 0.18$ $h_{other traits}^{2} = 0.25 \text{ to } 0.38$ $h_{uterell traits}^{2} = 0.15 \text{ to } 0.31$
	[B]	$h_{udder traits}^{2} = 0.06 \text{ to } 0.25$ $h_{bocomotion traits}^{2} = 0.04 \text{ to } 0.09$ $h_{other traits}^{2} = 0.10 \text{ to } 0.30$
		$h_{\text{overall traits}}^2 = 0.25$ to 0.39
	[S]	$h^{2}_{udder traits} = 0.33$ $h^{2}_{locomotion traits} = 0.09 \text{ to } 0.10$ $h^{2}_{udter traits} = 0.17 \text{ to } 0.20$
Sire categories	[H,B,S]	All bulls
Environmental effects		
pre-adjustment	[H,B,S]	None
evaluation model	[H]	Herd x year x round, age x stage of lactation
	[B]	Age x stage, classifier, herd x year, time to last milking
	[S]	Herd x year, technician, season, age, days in mink
Base for age adjustment	[H,B,S]	None
Use of genetic groups	[H]	Genetic groups and relationships
and/or relationships	(B) (S)	Relationships among evaluated bulls
N. (lad (madel) of genetic	[0] [U]	ST BI LIP AM
Method (model) of genetic	[П ,Б]	ST BLUP SM
System validation	[H]	Data quality control, checks on model suitability, genetic trend estimation, variations overtime check
	[B]	Genetic trend estimation
Expression of proof	[H]	EBV standardized to genetic base with $M = 0$ and $SD = 1$. Final score as EBV on original scale
	(B)	EBV with standardized $M = 0$ and $SD = 1$
	[S]	RBV with standardized M = 100 and $SD = 12$
Genetic (reference) base	[H]	Fixed, cows born in 1990. Changed every 5 years
	[B] [S]	Fixed, sires born between 1985 and 1987
Criteria for official	[H]	\geq 10 daughters \geq 5 herds
publication of sire proofs	[B]	\geq 15 daughters
	[S]	≥ 10 daughters
Number of evaluations/	[H]	Four; December, March, June, September
publications per year	[B]	Two; January, July
	[S]	Two; April, October

ITALY

		ITALY	
Conformation traits continued	nformation traits Udder tinued Locomotion Other	n traits Udder Locomotion Other	otion
Use in total merit index	[H] [B]	ILQM: $0.90 \times ILQ + 180 \times ICM$ $ILQ = 4.5 \times (-0.173 \text{ milk} + \text{fat} + 11.4 \text{ protein})$ $ICM = [(0.18 \times \text{fore udder attachment strength}) + (0.16 \times \text{rear udder attachment height}) + (0.05 \times \text{rear udder attachment width}) + (0.20 \times \text{ligament}) + (0.25 \times \text{udder depth} + (0.16 \times \text{teats size})]$ ITE: 7.793 x fat + 29.75 x protein + 79.05 x fat % +	
	[S]	545.45 x protein % + 90.91 x final score No	
Key reference on methodology applied	[H]	Jansen, G. Animal model per i caratteri morfologici.	
	[S]	Baiocco, C., L. Buttazoni & D. Vicario, 1994. Nuovi indici genetici per la valutazione dei tori. L'Informatore Agrario, 26: 55-59	

.