Country

Germany

Trait category:

Individual trait(s):

Reproduction-calving

Calving performance (direct, maternal)

Stillbirth (direct, maternal)

Reproduction-fertility

Non-return rate 90 (female, male)

Health Workability Somatic cell count

Conformation

Milking speed

Udder

Locomotion

Other

Longevity

Stayability

Coordination:

Arbeitsgemeinschaft Deutscher Rinderzüchter E.V. (A.D.R.)

Adenauerallee 174

D-53113 Bonn, Germany

Telephone

+49 228 91447 0

Facsimile

+49 228 91447 11

Addresses of the different co-operatives responsible for evaluation and publication for different breeds/traits:

All evaluation for Holstein Friesian and German Red & White, except for stayability, milking speed and beef & growth traits:

Vereinigte Informationssysteme Tierhaltung w.V. (VIT Verden)

Heideweg 1

D-27283 Verden, Germany

Telephone +49 4231 955 - 171 or 173

Facsimile +49 4231 955 - 166

E-mail vitall@rzv-srv.vit.de

All breeds restricted to Schleswig-Holstein for somatic cell count and stayability:

LKV Schleswig-Holstein

Steenbeker Weg 151

D-24106 Kiel, Germany

Telephone +49 431 339870

Facsimile +49 431 3398713

All breeds restricted to Rheinland-Pfalz and Saarland for milking speed:

Landesanstalt für Tierzucht und Qualitätsprüfungen Neumühle

D-67728 Münchweiler/Alsenz, Germany

Telephone +49 6302 92160

Facsimile +49 6302 921699

All breeds restricted to Bavaria for somatic cell count and beef & growth traits:

Landeskuratorium der Erzeugerringe für Tierische Veredlung

Havdnstr. 11

D-80336 München, Germany

Telephone +49 89 5443480

Facsimile +49 89 54434810

All breeds restricted to Bavaria, except for somatic cell count and beef & growth traits:

Bayerische Landesanstalt für Tierzucht

Prof. Dürrwaechter-Platz 1

D-85586 Poing, Germany

Telephone +49 89 99141 300

Facsimile +49 89 99141 105

All breeds restricted to Baden-Württemberg:

Landesamt für Flurneuordnung und Landesentwicklung

EB21

D-70806 Kornwestheim

Telephone +49 7154 139368

Facsimile +49 7154 139499

Reproduction calving traits		g performance (direct, maternal) rth (direct, maternal)
Breed(s)	[V] [B]	Verden: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White Bavaria: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Gelbvieh
Trait definition and unit(s) of measuring	[V] [B]	Calving performance is scored in 3 categories; normal or easy (1), hard pull (2), veterinary help or caesarian (3) Stillbirth is defined as stillborn or died within 24 hours after birth Calving performance is scored in 5 categories;
	(-)	without help (0), one helper (1), two or more helpers or mechanical help (3), veterinary help (4), caesarian section (5) Stillbirth is defined as stillborn or died within 48 hours after birth
Method of measuring and collecting data	[V,B]	Scored by farmer and collected by milk recording system
Time period for data inclusion	[V] [B]	Since 1986 Since 1979
Age groups	[V,B]	All
Genetic parameters	[V,B]	$h_{\text{calving performance (direct)}}^2 = 0.05$ $h_{\text{calving performance (maternal)}}^2 = 0.05$
		$r_{g(calving performance (direct, maternal))} = -0.10$ $h^2_{stillbirth (direct)} = 0.05$ $h^2_{stillbirth (maternal)} = 0.05$
Sire categories	(WD)	r _{g(stillbirth (direct, maternal))} = -0.10
<u>-</u>	[V,B]	All bulls
Environmental effects pre-adjustment evaluation model	[V,B] [V,B]	None Herd x calving year, calving month, parity, age within parity, sex of calf, permanent cow effect
Base for age adjustment	[V,B]	None
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	[V,B]	AM with genetic "phantom" groups for unknown parents
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	[V,B]	Maternal effects ST BLUP AM for repeated records
System validation	[V,B]	Plausibility checks of recorded data, check on model suitability (fixed effects, EBV), genetic trend
Expression of proof	[V,B]	RBV-C standardized with $M = 100$ and $SD = 12$, higher values are more desirable
Genetic (reference) base	[V,B]	All cows born 1990 within breed
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	[V] [B]	REL > 0.50 ≥ 10 daughters in ≥ 5 farms for milk production

Reproduction calving traits continued Number of evaluations/ publications per year	Calving performance (direct, maternal) Stillbirth (direct, maternal)		
	[V] [B]	One; August Two; May, November	
Use in total merit index	[V,B]	Development of selection index in progress	
Key reference on methodology applied	[V,B]	Gierdziewicz, M. et al., 1994. 45th Meeting of EAAP, Edinburgh. Evaluation of calving ease using a reduced animal model in German Fleckvieh Averdunk, G. et al., 1995. Proc. of the open session of the Interbull annual meeting Prague. Sire evaluation for fertility and calving ease in Germany	

Reproduction fertility traits	Non-ret	turn rate 90 (female, male)
Breed(s)	[V] [B] [W]	Verden: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White Bavaria: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Gelbvieh Baden-Württemberg: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Vorderwälder, Schwarzbunt, Rotbunt
Trait definition and unit(s) of measuring	[V,B,W]	Percentage non-returns within 90 days after first insemination
Method of measuring and collecting data	[V] [B,W]	Collected by AI-service technicians, veterinarians and milk recording system Collected by AI-organizations
Time period for data inclusion	[V,B] [W]	Since 1986 Since 1980
Age groups	[V,B,W]	All
Genetic parameters	[V,B,W]	$h^2_{\text{non-return rate } 90 \text{ (female)}} = 0.02$ $h^2_{\text{non-return rate } 90 \text{ (male)}} = 0.02$ $r_{\text{g(non-return rate } 90 \text{ (female, male))}} = -0.05$
Sire categories	[V,B,W]	All bulls
Environmental effects pre-adjustment evaluation model	[V,B,W] [V,B,W]	None Herd x year, calving month, parity, age within parity (only heifers), interval between calving and 1st insemination (only cows), permanent cow effect
Base for age adjustment	[V,B,W]	None
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	[V,B,W]	AM with genetic "phantom" groups for unknown parents
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	[V,B,W]	ST BLUP AM, including paternal and maternal genetic effects
System validation	[V,B,W]	Plausibility checks of recorded data, checks on model suitability for fixed effects and breeding value
Expression of proof	[V,B,W]	RBV-F standardized with $M = 100$ and $SD = 12$, higher values are more desirable
Genetic (reference) base	[V,B] [W]	All cows born in 1990 within breed Fixed, sires born in 1982-1984
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	[V] [B] [W]	REL > 0.50 ≥ 10 daughters in ≥ 5 herds for milk production ≥ 200 insemination and ≥ 50 daughters inseminations
Number of evaluations/ oublications per year	[V] [B]	One; August Two; May, November
Jse in total merit index	[V,B]	Development of selection index in progress

Reproduction fertility traits continued	Non-return rate 90 (female, male)		
Key reference on methodology applied	[V,B]	Thaller, G et al., 1994. 45 th Meeting of EAAP, Edinburgh. Breeding value estimation for reproductive traits by an animal model with paternal and maternal effects Averdunk, G. et al., 1995. Proc. of the open session of the Interbull annual meeting Prague. Sire evaluation for fertility and calving ease in Germany	

Health traits	Somati	c cell count
Breed(s)	[V]	Verden: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White
	[B]	Bavaria: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Gelbvieh, Holstein Friesian
	[S]	Schleswig Holstein: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White, Red Angler
Trait definition and unit(s) of measuring	[V]	Somatic cell score is log 2 transformed test-day somatic cell counts, taken between 4 and 365 days in lactation; number of cells should be between 5,000 and 6,400,000
	[B]	Log 10 transformed somatic cell counts (in 1000/ml); number of cells should be between 10,000 and 10,000,000
	[S]	Lactation mean of log transformed test-day somatic cell count, taken between 2 nd and 8 th milk recording
Method of measuring and collecting data	[V,B] [S]	Test-day results from milkrecording Collected during normal milk recording
Time period for data	[V]	Since 1990
inclusion	[B]	Since 1989
	[S]	Since 1986
Age groups	[V]	1 st to 3 rd lactation
	[B] [S]	1 st lactation All
Genetic parameters	[V]	h ² somatic cell score (lactation 1) = 0.08
		$n_{\text{somatic cell score (lactation 2)}}^{2} = 0.13$
		$h^2_{\text{somatic cell score (lactation 3)}} = 0.14$
		$I_{g(somatic cell score (lactation 1, lactation 2)} = 0.90$
		rg(somatic cell score (lactation 1, lactation 3) = 0.85
	[B]	$r_{g(somatic cell score (lactation 2, lactation 3)} = 0.97$ $h^2_{somatic cell court (for Fleckvich and Gelbvich)} = 0.05$
	(-)	h ² somatic cell count (for Fleckvich and Gelbvich) = 0.03 h ² somatic cell count (for Braunvich and Holstein Friesian) = 0.07
	[S]	h ² somatic cell count = 0.10
Sire categories	[V,S]	All bulls
	[B]	Bulls with ≥ 10 daughters
Environmental effects		
pre-adjustment	[V,B,S]	None
evaluation model	[V]	Region, age of calving, stage of lactation, season
	[B]	of calving, herd x test-date, permanent environment Herd x year, month, stage of lactation, cow
	[S]	Herd x year, parity
Base for age adjustment	[V,B,S]	None
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	[V]	All known relations in an AM. Phantom parent groups according to selection path, breed, year of birth
	[B]	None
	[S]	Bull's birth year

Health traits continued	Somatic cell count		
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	[V] [B,S]	MT BLUP AM for test-day records. Lactation 1, 2 and 3 are considered as separate traits ST BLUP Sire repeatability model	
System validation	[V] [B] [S]	Edits for age of calving and days in milk Checks similar to milk recorded data Plausibility checks of recorded data	
Expression of proof	[V] [B,S]	Combined RBV = $0.26 \times \text{scs}_{\text{lactation 1}} + 0.37 \times \text{scs}_{\text{lactation 2}} + 0.37 \times \text{scs}_{\text{lactation 3}}$, with M = 100 and SD = 12, higher values are more desirable RBV standardized with M = 100 and SD = 12, higher values are more desirable	
Genetic (reference) base	[V] [B]	Rolling bull base, defined by all 8-10 year old Albulls All test bulls tested in the latest 3 year period for milk production Rolling bull base	
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	[V,B,S]	REL ≥ 50 %	
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	[V] [B] [S]	Two; March, September Two; April, October One; August	
Use in total merit index Key reference on methodology applied	[V,B,S] [V]	Reents, R., J. Jamrozik, L.R. Schaeffer & J.C.M. Dekkers, 1995. Estimation of genetic parameters for test-day records of somatic cell score. J. Dairy Sci. 78: 2847 Reents, R., J.C.M. Dekkers & L.R. Schaeffer, 1995. Genetic evaluation for somatic cell score with a test-day model for multiple lactations. J. Dairy Sci. 78: 2858	

Workability traits	Milking speed			
Breed(s)	[B] [R] [W]	Bavaria: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Gelbvieh Rheinland-Pfalz and Saarland: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White, Red Angler Baden-Württemberg: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh,		
	[11]	Vorderwälder, Schwarz & Rotbunt		
Trait definition and unit(s) of measuring	[B,W] [R]	Average milking speed (in kg/minute) Average milking speed measured between 50 th and 180 th day during first lactation (in kg/minute)		
Method of measuring and collecting data	[B] [R,W]	Included in milk recording scheme Collected during normal milk recording		
Time period for data inclusion	[B] [R] [W]	Since 1970 Since 1976 Since 1981		
Age groups	[B,R,W]	1st lactation		
Genetic parameters	[B,R] [W]	$h_{\text{milking speed}}^2 = 0.20$ $h_{\text{milking speed}}^2 = 0.30$		
Sire categories	[B,W] [R]	Test bulls All bulls with progeny records		
Environmental effects				
pre-adjustment	[B] [R] [W]	Test-day milk yield Test-day within lactation, herd, year Day of lactation		
evaluation model	[B] [R]	Technician doing the proof None		
Base for age adjustment	[B,R,W]	None		
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	[B,R] [W]	None Birth year of sire		
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	[B,W] [R]	ST CC-procedure ST SM		
System validation	[B] [R] [W]	Plausible checks of recorded data Check for extreme values (excess, kurtosis)		
Expression of proof	[B,R,W]	EBV in kg milk per minute		
Genetic (reference) base	[R] [W]	All bulls proved within the last 5 years Bulls born 1982-1984		
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	[B,R] [W]	≥ 20 daughters ≥ 20 daughters (for Fleckvieh) ≥ 10 daughters (for other breeds)		
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	[B] [R]	Four; February, May, August, November Two; June, December		
Use in total merit index	[B] [R,W]	Development of selection index in progress No		
Key reference on methodology applied	[B,R,W]	•		

Conformation traits	Udder:	fore udder attachment, fore udder length, rear udder height, suspensory ligament, udder depth, teat placement, teat length, udder overall ion: rear leg set, foot angle
	Other:	stature, body depth, rump angle, rump width, strength, dairy character, body type overall
Breed(s)	[V] [B]	Verden: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White, Angler Bavaria: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Gelbvieh
	[W]	Baden-Württemberg: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Vorderwälder, Schwarz & Rotbunt
Trait definition and unit(s) of measuring	[V]	Most individual traits scored on a linear 1-9 point scale, following recommendation of the European and World-wide group for harmonization of linear type classification, except for stature, which is measured in cm Overall traits are scored on a 0-50 point scale
Method of measuring and collecting data	[V] [B,W]	Scored by classifier Scored by official people
Time period for data inclusion	[V] [B,W]	Since 1984 New scoring system since 1988
Age groups	[V,B,W]	1 st lactation
Genetic parameters	[V]	$h_{\text{locomotion traits}}^2 = 0.18 \text{ to } 0.27$ $h_{\text{locomotion traits}}^2 = 0.13$ $h_{\text{other traits}}^2 = 0.21 \text{ to } 0.43$
Sire categories	[V] [B] [W]	All bulls Promising AI-sires, since 1993 all AI-sires Promising AI-sires
Environmental effects		
pre-adjustment	[V]	Heterogeneous variances between classifiers
evaluation model	[B,W] [V]	None Classifier x year, herd x year, stage of lactation, age of calving
	[B] [W]	Classifier Time x region x person
Base for age adjustment	[V,B,W]	None
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	[V]	All known relations in an Animal model. Phantom parent groups according to selection path, breed, year of birth
	[B,W]	None
Method (model) of genetic evaluation	[V] [B] [W]	ST BLUP AM ST deviation of average of classifier CC-procedure

Conformation traits continued	Udder Locomo Other	otion
System validation	[V]	Check for reasonable age of calving and stage of lactation at time of classification, only 1st lactation 1st classification are used None
Expression of proof	[V] [B] [W]	EBV standardized with $M = 100$ and $SD = 12$ RBV with $M = 100$ and $SD = 12$, higher values are more desirable EBV
Genetic (reference) base	[V]	Rolling bull base, defined by all 8-10 year old Albulls None
Criteria for official publication of sire proofs	[V] [B] [W]	≥ 20 daughters in 5 herds ≥ 30 daughters ≥ 20 daughters
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	[V] [B]	Two; March, September Four, February, May, August, November
Use in total merit index	[V,R,B]	No
Key reference on methodology applied	[V,B]	Reents, R, 1993. Estimation of breeding values for type traits in Germany, Interbull Bulletin No. 8, 1993

Longevity traits	Stayability		
Breed(s)	[B] [S]	Bavaria: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Gelbvieh Schleswig Holstein: Holstein Friesian, German Red & White, Red Angler	
	[W]	Baden-Württemberg: Fleckvieh, Braunvieh, Vorderwälder, Schwarz & Rotbunt	
Trait definition and	[B]	Relative risk for culling	
unit(s) of measuring	[S,W]	Percentage of live daughters at the age of 46 and 60 months	
Method of measuring and	[B]	Included in milk recording scheme	
collecting data	[S]	Birth and culling date of cows recorded during normal milk recording	
	[W]	Birth dates of all cows	
Time period for data	[B]	Since 1976	
inclusion	[S] [W]	Since 1979 Since 1971	
A	[B,S,W]	All	
Age groups		$h_{\text{stayability}}^2 = 0.1$	
Genetic parameters	[B] [S]	$h_{\text{stayability}}^{2} = 0.1$ $h_{\text{stayability}}^{2} = 0.05$	
	[W]	$h^2_{\text{stayability}} = 0.07 \text{ to } 0.12$	
	. ,	(different h ² for different breeds)	
Sire categories	[B,S,W]	All bulls	
Environmental effects			
pre-adjustment	[B,S,W]	None	
evaluation model	[S]	Herd, year x season, age of first calving Herd, first calving age group, genetic group	
	[W]	None	
Base for age adjustment	[B,S,W]	Full relationship matrix, animals with unknown	
Use of genetic groups and/or relationships	(B)	parents are grouped by birth year	
	[S] [W]	Bull's birth year Birth year of sire of semen	
Method (model) of genetic	[B,S,W]	ST BLUP SM	
evaluation	(D W)		
System validation	[B,W] [S]	Plausibility checks of recorded data	
Expression of proof	[S]	RBV standardized with $M = 100$ and $SD = 12$, higher values are more desirable	
	<u>[W]</u>	EBV	
Genetic (reference) base	[B]	Rolling bull base, i.e. in 1996 average of 1986 to 1988 was used	
	[S]	Rolling bull base, i.e. in 1996 average of 1983 to 1986 was used	
	{ W }	Bulls born between 1975-1977	
Criteria for official	[S]	REL > 50%	
publication of sire proofs	[W]	≥ 50 daughters (for Fleckvieh)≥ 30 daughters (for other breeds)	

Longevity traits	Stayability		
Number of evaluations/ publications per year	[B] [S]	Two; May, November One; August	
Use in total merit index	[B,S,W]	No	
Key reference on methodology applied	[B,S,W]	-	