

Genetic Relationship between Milk Yields, Calving Ease and Days Open at First Lactation of Holstein Cows in Korea

D.H. Lee^{1*}, K.J. Han², and B.H. Park³

^{1*}Department of Animal Life and Resources, Hankyong National University, Seokjeong 67, Ansong, Kyonggi 456-749, Korea .Tel: +82-31-670-5091, Fax: +82-31-676-5091, E-mail: dhlee@hnu.hankyong.ac.kr

²Dairy Herd Improvement Center, NACF, Korea

³National Livestock Research Institute, RDA, Korea

Abstract

Data containing 14,188 lactation and reproductive records of Korean Holstein cows at first parity distributed across 3734 herd-year-season groups were analyzed to get genetic (co)variance estimates for milk yield, fat yield, calving ease, and days open. Milk and Fat yields were adjusted to 305 d. Heritabilities and genetic correlations were estimated in two different animal models on which were included direct genetic effects (Model 1) and direct + maternal genetic effects (Model 2) using REML algorithms. Milk and fat yields were affected by age at first calving as linear and quadratic. Heritability estimates of direct effects were 0.25 for milk yield, 0.17 for fat yield, 0.03 for calving ease and 0.03 for days open in Model 2. These estimates for maternal effects were 0.05, 0.08, 0.04 and less than 0.01 for corresponding traits. Milk productions at first lactation were to show genetically favorable correlation with calving ease at first parity and days open after first parity for direct genetic effects (-0.24 ~ -0.11). Moreover, calving ease was correlated with days open of 0.30 for direct genetic effects. Correlations between direct and maternal effects for each trait were negatively correlated (-.63 ~ -0.32). This study suggested that maternal additive genetic variance would be not ignorable for genetic evaluation of milk production as well as reproductive traits such as calving ease and days open at first parity. Furthermore, difficult calving would genetically influence the next conception.

Introduction

Many dairy farmers have pursued to maintain satisfactory reproductive performances with high levels of milk production. Some researchers (Dechow *et al.*, 2001; Roman and Wilcox, 2000) have reported antagonistic relationship with respect to both phenotypes and genotypes between milk production and reproductive traits such as fertility, days open and calving ease. However, others have reported genetic correlations that were close to zero (Raheja *et al.*, 1989) or low (Dong and VanVleck, 1989). Under experimental conditions, Hageman *et al.* (1991) reported that high genetic lines had longer postpartum anestrus and subsequently longer times to first breeding. Consequently, calving interval and days open were 10d longer for high milk yield lines in the first and second parities. Calving difficulty (Dystocia) and the attendant increase in the interval from calving to conception is also a critical problem especially in seasonal

calving herds and delay in conception due to poor fertility increases calving interval.

Heritabilities of fertility traits are generally very low, in other words, these implicate to be highly influenced by environmental factors especially management decisions taken by the breeder (Dematawewa and Berger, 1998; Luo *et al.*, 1999). However, there is significant genetic co-variation between cows in the interval from calving to first breeding that is positively correlated with number of services per conception. The interval from calving to first breeding and number of services per conception have both positive correlation with days open which is the variable component of calving interval (Dematawewa and Berger, 1998).

Maternal effects have been defined as any influence from a dam on its offspring, excluding the effects of directly transmitted genes that affect performance of the offspring.

Biological mechanisms to explain maternal effects include cytoplasmic inheritance, intrauterine and postpartum nutrition provided by the dam, antibodies and pathogens transmitted from dam to offspring, and maternal behavior. Phenotypic variation in the offspring is partially due to genetic variation that can be influenced by two genetic components, animal genotype (direct genetic effect) and dam genotype (maternal genetic effect) (Willham, 1963). However, there are indications that maternal genetic effects are not important for yield traits of dairy cattle (Schutz *et al.*, 1992; Albuquerque *et al.*, 1998). Nevertheless, Some evidences suggest that maternal lineage effects, considered as cytoplasmic line effects, would affect yield and reproductive traits of dairy cattle (Schutz *et al.*, 1992; Albuquerque *et al.*, 1998). Otherwise, the variation of calving ease would be partially due to maternal genetic effects as well as direct genetic effects (Luo *et al.*, 1999). Luo *et al.* (1999) are also reported the direct heritability of 0.11 and the maternal heritability of 0.12 for calving ease using Bayesian approach.

The objectives in this studies are 1) to determine the relationship between milk production and reproductive traits at first lactation and 2) to investigate the maternal genetic effects for milk production and reproduction and finally 3) to investigate the amount of genetic effects of calving ease at first parity to influence days open after first parity of Holstein cows in Korean dairy industry.

Materials and Methods

Data Source and Edits

Field Data for milk yields, fat yields, calving ease and days open in Holstein cows were collected from dairy herds on which farmers have been participated Dairy Herd Improvement program organized by Dairy Herd Improvement Center (DCIC) as a branch

of NongHyup Co-operative federation in South Korea from 1999 to 2002. Milk yields and compositions (fat yields) were recorded at twice per month from 6 days in milk (DIM) to date at dry-off. After Records from heifers that have milked less than 75d were discarded, the others were corrected to 305d milk yields (**MY305**) and fat yields (**FY305**) using Korean standard correction programs. The traits studied were MY305, FY305, calving ease (**CE**) at first parity that was scored from 1 (easy calving) to 5 (extreme difficulty), and days open (**DO**) that is days from calving to conception. Records with milk yield observed less than 2000 kg or more than 20000kg or **DO** having less than 32 days or more than 200 days were discarded. Records from cows with age of 19.7~39.4 mo. at first parturition were retained. Records of cows with the invalid identification numbers or invalid sire registration number were also excluded. Records on which herds have a least 3 records were retained. Records for these traits from 14,188 cows at first lactation were used for estimating genetic parameters.

Statistical Analyses

Two different multivariate statistical models for this study were used:

$$\textbf{Model 1: } y_i = Z_h hys_i + Z_a a_i + e_i$$

$$\textbf{Model 2: } y_i = Z_h hys_i + Z_a a_i + Z_m m_i + e_i$$

where y_i is a vector of observations ($i = \text{MY305, FY305, CE, and DO}$); hys_i is a vector of herd-year-season effects treated as random; a_i is a vectors of direct effects treated as additive genetic random effects; e_i is a vector of random residual effects for i^{th} trait. Z_h, Z_a are incidence matrices that link data with respective effects. Variances were assumed of the form:

$$\text{var}(h, a, e) = \begin{bmatrix} V_{h(4 \times 4)} \otimes I_{n_h} & 0 & 0 \\ & V_{a(4 \times 4)} \otimes A & 0 \\ \text{Symm.} & & R_{e(4 \times 4)} \otimes I_N \end{bmatrix} \text{ in Model 1 and}$$

$$\text{var}(h, a, m, e) = \begin{bmatrix} V_{h(4 \times 4)} \otimes I_{n_h} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & V_{a(4 \times 4)} \otimes A & V_{a \times m(4 \times 4)} \otimes A & 0 \\ & & V_{m(4 \times 4)} \otimes A & 0 \\ \text{Symm.} & & & R_{e(4 \times 4)} \otimes I_N \end{bmatrix} \text{ in Model 2.}$$

where A is a numerator relationship matrix and $\otimes$ is direct product.

It was assumed that traits considered were correlated for each random effect in **Model 1**. Assumption in **Model 2** was that Maternal genetic effects were correlated to direct genetic effects with including assumptions of **Model 1**.

Variance and covariance components for each random effects were estimated using EM-REML algorithms and heritability and genetic correlation estimates were calculated using estimated (Co)variance components.

Results and Discussion

General performance

About 26 cows per sire and 180 sires collected from 1788 herds were involved for estimating genetic parameters (**Table 1**). Numbers of cows by herds should be relatively small and highly skewed with comparing to those of foreign countries. This is distinguishable characteristic of dairy herds due to agricultural circumstance in Korea. A subsequent characteristic is that most of cows have been managed in barn rather than pasture. Feeding systems are mainly utilized by way of grains and TMR. These feeding systems should influence milk production as well as reproduction. Under these management systems, the average of age at first parturition was examined at 26 month of age. Thompson *et al.* (1983) found that problems of parturition increase significantly when age at first calving is less than 22 mo. However, for Simerl *et al.* (1992) frequency of dystocia was greater in the young (<24 mo.) or old (>27 mo.) heifers, partially explaining the detrimental effect of early calving on yield (Simerl *et al.*, 1992; Thompson *et al.*, 1983) and on reproductive performance. Milk yields and fat yields corrected to 305d according to the national correction factors in Korea were estimated to

about 7,500kg and 280kg, respectively. Furthermore, Days from first calving to conception (**DO**) were about 113 days. Most of heifers showed easy calving (CE score 1 & 2 ; 98%) and only less than 2% showed hard calving (**Table 2**). This looks apparently like a little problem with comparing that 86.4 percentage of Holstein cows in US filed data showed easy calving (Wiggans *et al.*, 2002). However, Dystocia is openly problem at first parturition rather than later. F-statistics and significances for traits studied showed at **Table 3**. As shown at **Table 3**, we could not find any problems for calving difficulty and **DO** with respect to age at first parturition. These results did not agreed to the reports by Thompson *et al.* (1983) and Simerl *et al.* (1992).

305-adjusted milk yield and **FY305** were shown to significantly increase according to birth years. Otherwise, calving ease and **DO** were shown little different by birth years (**Table 4**). The cows calved at fall milked highest amount of production and were taken short interval of **DO**. These trends would be influenced by seasonal management factors. Yields would be affected by age of first conception as linear and quadratic and these results were consistent with other reports (Pirlo *et al.*, 2000). It was shown that CE and **DO** were not much influenced by age of first conception.

Heritabilities

Heritability estimates for **MY305**, **FY305**, **CE** and **DO** are in **Table 5**. Heritability estimates of **MY305** were 0.219 in **Model 1** and 0.248 and 0.045 for direct and maternal genetic effects, respectively, in **Model 2**. These estimates were smaller than estimates by other results (Abdallah and McDaniel, 2000). Heritability of 0.30 of milk yield has been used

for national evaluation in United States from 1997 (AIPL-USDA Web site). Recently, it has been increased interest of random regression model for test-day records for milk production in dairy cattle. Heritability of milk yield at test-day milk production would be lower (Tijani *et al.*, 1999; Gengler *et al.*, 1999) than 305-d milk yields. Gengler *et al.* (1999) estimated heritabilities for test-day milk yields of mean of 0.20 at first lactation. Furthermore, some evidence suggested that maternal sibs are more alike than are paternal sibs (Dong *et al.*, 1988). Dong *et al.* (1988) reported higher heritability estimates with animal models than with sire models. However, maternal additive genetic effects have been ignored for genetic evaluation in several countries because of little contribution of their effects. Otherwise, on this study, maternal genetic effects could contribute 4.5 percentage of total variance. This result should indicate that additive maternal genetic effects were also important for genetic evaluation of milk yield.

Heritability estimates for **FY305** were 0.154 in **Model 1** and 0.172 and 0.077 for direct and maternal genetic effects, respectively, in **Model 2**. These estimates were smaller than estimate of 0.28 by Abdallah and McDaniel (2000) and were similar to estimate of 0.177 by Dematawewa and Berger (1998). Heritability estimates for **CE** at first parity were very small as 1.5% in **Model 1** and 3.0 and 3.5% for direct and maternal genetic effect, respectively, in **Model 2**. These estimates were similar to or smaller than those of other reports (Carner *et al.*, 2000; Weller *et al.*, 1988; Luo *et al.*, 1999). These reports were estimated in animal models with maternal genetic effects as well as direct genetic effects. This implied that maternal additive genetic effects were also important effects for genetic evaluation for calving ease. Luo *et al.* (1999) estimated heritabilities for calving ease of 0.05 and 0.03 for direct and maternal effects and Carnier *et al.* (2000) reported estimates of 0.19 and 0.09 for direct and maternal effects, respectively, at first parity using Italian Piedmontese field data. We expect that these estimates should be higher if applied threshold model rather than linear model (Varona *et al.*, 1999) because of violating normality.

Heritability estimates for **DO** were 0.024 in **Model 1** and 0.033 and 0.005 for direct and

maternal effects, respectively, in **Model 2**. These estimates are in good agreement with those reported by numerous studies (Dematawewa and Berger, 1998; Adballah and McDaniel, 2000; Makuza and McDaniel, 1996).

Correlations

Table 6 shows phenotypic and genetic correlation estimates among the four traits at first parity. Estimates of genetic and phenotypic correlations found between **MY305** and **FY305** for first parity Holstein in the US (Schutz *et al.*, 1990) and Canada (Moore *et al.*, 1991) are similar to our results findings of 0.860 in **Model 1** and 0.863 in **Model 2** for direct genetic effects. Furthermore, on this study, correlation estimates for maternal genetic effects between **MY305** and **FY305** was also high (0.62) even if genetic variation of these traits were small. Correlation estimates of **MY305** were favorable to **CE** (-0.039 in Model 1 and -0.235 in Model 2) and **DO** (-0.279 in Model 1 and -0.237 in Model 2). Generally, increased **DO** indicated loss of fertility. Dematawewa and Berger (1998) reported an antagonistic relationship between yield and fertility at first parity. Their estimates of correlation between **MY305** and **DO** were 0.27 and 0.55 for phenotypic and genetic variation, respectively, when used for first lactation. Otherwise, Makuza and McDaniel (1996) found much low correlation of -0.04.

Correlation estimates between **FY305** and reproductive traits (**CE** and **DO**) were very small (0.002 and 0.077) in Phenotypic observations. These estimates were negative for direct genetic effects in **Model 2** as -0.111 and -0.190, respectively. Furthermore, for maternal genetic effects in **Model 2**, these estimates were -0.293 between **FY305** and **CE** and -0.186 between **FY305** and **DO**. These results indicated that the prolonged **DO** would influence low milk production at first parity. Dematawewa and Berger (1998) found an antagonistic relationship between **FY305** and **DO** to be 0.54. Nevertheless, Roman *et al.* (1999) reported that, for first lactation records, genetic correlations of milk production and parturition to first observed estrus and parturition to first breeding ranged from -0.01 to -0.52. Calving ease would be apparently

uncorrelated with **DO** in phenotypic measure. Otherwise, genetic correlation between these corresponding traits was -0.029 in **Model 1**. In **Model 2**, correlation between **CE** and **DO** was estimated as 0.303 for direct genetic effects and 0.072 for maternal additive genetic effects. This result would imply that difficult calving lead to prolong estrus and conception.

Genetic correlations between direct and maternal genetic effects for four traits in this study were shown in **Table 7**. These estimates are negatively correlated as -0.448 for **MY305**, -0.396 for **FY305**, -0.625 for **CE** and -0.318 for **DO**. For **CE**, this result indicated that antagonistic relationships exist between calving ease as a trait of calf and as a trait of the dam and that, from a genetic point of view, female calves born more easily are expected to exhibit greater difficulties when giving birth as dams. A number of studies reported antagonistic genetic relationship between direct and maternal effects on calving ease in Dairy (Dwyer *et al.*, 1986; Carnier *et al.*, 2000). These phenomena were shown on estimates for **MY305**, **FY305**, and **DO**.

Implications

Heritability and genetic correlation estimates for **MY305**, **FY305**, **CE**, and **DO** with taking into account both direct and maternal effects have been obtained from Holstein cows with first lactation in two different animal models. Our heritability estimates for yield traits were lower than estimates from other studies in the US (Schutz *et al.*, 1990). Furthermore, maternal heritability estimates for these traits were found in an animal model with taking into account for maternal effects although estimates were small. However, genetic evaluation including maternal effects is still questionable because of little information of data in this study. Heritability estimates for fertility traits were low. However, genetic effects for days open with respect to direct and maternal effects would be on indication to improve genetically reproductive performance. Furthermore, dystocia would influence conception rate. The genetic correlations between yield traits (**MY305** and **FY305**) and fertility traits (**CE** and **DO**) were shown to be negative (desirable) at first lactation. Hence, deteriorative fertility would influence to

diminish milk production. However, these results were not consistent with comparing other reports (Dematawewa and Berger, 1998). Further studies were needed to find relationship between production and reproductive performance with including records from cows in second or later lactation.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank to all staffs at Dairy Herd Improvement Center (DCIC) for providing field data for lactation of Holstein cows and also thank for providing animal registration numbers to Korean Animal Improvement Association

References

- Abdallah, J.M. & McDaniel, B.T. 2000. Genetic parameters and trends of milk, fat, days open, and body weight after calving in North Carolina experimental herds. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83, 1364-1370.
- Albuquerque, L.G., Keown, J.F. & VanVleck, L.D. 1998. Variance of direct genetic effects, maternal genetic effects, and cytoplasmic inheritance effects for milk yield, fat yield, and fat percentage. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81, 544-549.
- Carner, P., Albera, A., Dal Zotto, R., Groen, A.F., Bona, M. & Bittante, G. 2000. Genetic parameters for direct and maternal calving ability over parities in Piedmontese cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 78, 2532-2539.
- Dechow, C.D., Rogers, G.W. & Clay, J.S. 2001. Heritabilities and correlations among body condition scores, production traits, and Reproductive performance. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84, 266-275.
- Dematawewa, C.M.B. & Berger, P.J. 1998. Genetic and phenotypic parameters for 305-day yield, fertility, and survival in Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81, 2700-2709.
- Dong, M.C. & VanVleck, L.D. 1989. Estimates of genetic and environmental (co)variances for first lactation milk yield, survival, and calving interval. *J. Dairy Sci.* 72, 678-684.
- Dong, M.C., VanVleck, L.D. & Wiggans, G.R. 1988. Effect of relationships on estimates of variance components with an animal

- model and restricted maximum likelihood. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71, 3047-3056.
- Dwyer, D.J., Shaeffer, L.R. & Kennedy, B.W. 1986. Bias due to corrective mating in sire evaluation for calving ease. *J. Dairy Sci.* 69, 794-799.
- Gengler, H., Tijani, A., Wiggans, G.R., Van Tassell, C.P. & Philpot, J.C. 1999. Estimation of (co)variances of test day yields for first lactation Holsteins in the United States. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82(Jan): provided only on Web (www.adsa.org).
- Hageman, W.H., Shook, G.E. & Tyler, W.J. 1991. Reproductive performance in genetic lines selected for high or average milk yield. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 4366-4376.
- Luo, M.F., Boettcher, P.J., Dekkers, J.C.M. & Schaeffer, L.R. Bayesian analysis for estimation of genetic parameters of calving ease and stillbirth for Canadian Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82(Aug): provided only on Web (www.adsa.org).
- Makuza, S.M. & McDaniel, B.T. 1996. Effects of days dry, previous days open, and current days open on milk yields of cows in Zimbabwe and North Carolina. *J. Dairy Sci.* 79, 702-709.
- Moore, R.K., Kennedy, B.W., Schaeffer, L.R. & Moxley, L.E. 1991. Relationship between age and body weight and production in first lactation Ayrshires and Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 269-278.
- Pirlo, G., Miglior, F. & Speroni, M. 2000. Effect of age at first calving on production traits and on difference between milk yield returns and rearing costs in Italian Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83, 603-608.
- Raheja, K.L., Burnside, E.B. & Schaeffer, L.R. 1989. Relationships between fertility and production in Holstein dairy cattle in different lactations. *J. Dairy Sci.* 72, 2670-2678.
- Roman, R.M. & Wilcox, C.J. 2000. Bivariate animal model estimates of genetic, phenotypic and environmental correlations for production, reproduction, and somatic cells in Jerseys. *J. Dairy Sci.* 83, 829-835.
- Schutz, M.M., Freeman, A.E., Beitz, D.C. & Mayfield, J.E. 1992. The importance of maternal lineage on milk yield traits of dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 75, 1331-1341.
- Schutz, M.M., Hansen, L.B., Steuernagel, G.R., Reneau, J.K. & Kuck, A.L. 1990. Genetic parameters for somatic cells, protein and fat in milk for Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 73, 494-502.
- Simerl, N.A., Wilcox, C.J. & Thatcher, W.W. 1992. Postpartum performance of dairy heifer freshening at young ages. *J. Dairy Sci.* 75, 590-595.
- Thompson, J.R., Pollak, E.J. & Pelissier, C.L. 1983. Interrelationships of parturition problems, production of subsequent lactation, reproduction, and age at first calving. *J. Dairy Sci.* 66, 1119-1127.
- Tijani, A., Wiggans, G.R., Van Tassell, C.P., Philpot, J.C. & Gengler, N. 1999. Use of (co)variance functions to describe (co)variances for test day yield. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82(Jan): provided only on Web (www.adsa.org).
- Varona, L., Misztal, I. & Bertrand, J.K. 1999. Threshold-linear versus linear-linear analysis of birth weight and calving ease using an animal model: I. Variance component estimation. *J. Anim. Sci.* 77, 1994-2002.
- Weller, J.I., Misztal, I. & Gianola, D. 1988. Genetic analysis of dystocia and calf mortality in Israeli-Holsteins by threshold and linear models. *J. Dairy Sci.* 71, 2491-2501.
- Wiggans, G.R., VanTassell, C.P., Philpot, J.C. & Misztal, I. 2002. Comparison of Dystocia evaluations from sire and sire-maternal grandsire threshold models. *7th World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*. Communication No 20-17.
- Willham, R.L. 1963. The covariances between relatives for characters composed of components contributed by related individuals. *Biometrics* 19, 18-27.

Table 1. Information of data structure for productive and reproductive traits of Holstein cows from 1788 dairy herds in Korea.

	No.of Obs.	Mean	SD	Min.	MAX.
No. of cows/Sire	180	25.77	50.86	2	345
No. of cows/Herd	1788	4.93	6.95	1	85
Age at 1st parturition(Month)	14188	26.25	3.22	19.7	39.4
MY305(kg)	14188	7489	1582	2032	15329
FY305(kg)	14188	282	65	58	618
CE(Score)	11552	1.24	0.48	1	5
DO(Days)	11472	113.4	45.4	32	200

MY305=305d adjusted milk yield; FY305=305d adjusted fat yield; CE=calving ease; DO=days open

Table 2. Number of observations for calving eases by degree of calving difficulty at first parity of Holstein cows from 1788 dairy herds in Korea.

Score	Frequency	Percent
1=No problem	9038	78.24
2=Slight problem	2317	20.06
3=Needed assistance	175	1.51
4=Considerable force	13	0.11
5=Extreme difficulty	9	0.08

Table 3. F-statistics and significances for milk yields (MY305), fat yields (FY305), calving ease (CE) and days open (DO) at first lactation of Holstein cows from 1788 dairy herds in Korea.

Source	DF	MY305	FY305	CE	DO
Herd	1787	5.01**	5.75**	11.37**	1.31**
Birth Year	3	51.66**	30.93**	1.39 ^{NS}	12.96**
Season	3	2.61*	21.77**	2.24 ⁺	8.28**
Age	1	6.63**	7.69**	0.17 ^{NS}	0.25 ^{NS}
Age ²	1	2.98 ⁺	3.26 ⁺	0.12 ^{NS}	0.19 ^{NS}

MY305=305d adjusted milk yield; FY305=305d adjusted fat yield; CE=calving ease; DO=days open

** = (p<0.01); * = (P<0.05); + = (P<0.10)

Table 4. Least square means and standard errors for milk yields (MY305), fat yields (FY305), calving ease (CE) and days open (DO) at first lactation of Holstein cows from 1788 herds in Korea.

	MY305		FY305		CE		DO	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Year								
1999	7100.8	45.01	267.3	1.80	1.25	0.012	116.1	1.81
2000	7206.7	21.97	272.0	0.88	1.28	0.006	115.5	0.89
2001	7514.4	24.70	283.4	0.99	1.28	0.007	113.3	0.97
2002	7960.1	69.11	286.6	2.76	1.25	0.021	97.45	2.72
Season								
Mar. – May	7438.9	34.57	273.6	1.38	1.25	0.010	114.8	1.40
Jun. – Aug.	7445.7	35.77	277.9	1.43	1.26	0.010	111.8	1.42
Sep. – Nov.	7503.4	31.25	284.7	1.25	1.26	0.009	107.5	1.24
Dec. – Feb.	7394.1	29.01	273.1	1.16	1.28	0.008	108.2	1.15
Covariate								
Age	118.321	45.944	5.088	1.834	N/A		N/A	
Age ²	-1.39	0.803	-0.058	.0321	N/A		N/A	

MY305=305d adjusted milk yield; FY305=305d adjusted fat yield; CE=calving ease; DO=days open

Table 5. Heritability estimates for milk yields (MY305), fat yields (FY305), calving ease (CE) and days open (DO) at first lactation in a multivariate animal model using REML of Holstein cows from 1788 herds in Korea.

	Model 1	Model 2	
	Direct	Direct	Maternal
MY305	0.219	0.248	0.045
FY305	0.154	0.172	0.077
CE	0.015	0.030	0.035
DO	0.024	0.033	0.005

MY305=305d adjusted milk yield; FY305=305d adjusted fat yield; CE=calving ease; DO=days open

Table 6. Phenotypic and genetic correlation estimates between milk yields (MY305), fat yields (FY305), calving ease (CE), and days open (DO) at first lactation in a multivariate animal model using REML of Holstein cows from 1788 herds in Korea.

	Phenotypic	Model 1	Model 2	
		Direct	Direct	Maternal
MY305 - FY305	0.766	0.860	0.863	0.619
- CE	0.003	-0.039	-0.235	0.025
- DO	0.061	-0.279	-0.237	0.085
FY305 - CE	0.002	0.011	-0.111	-0.293
- DO	0.077	-0.208	-0.190	-0.186
CE - DO	0.006	-0.029	0.303	0.072

MY305=305d adjusted milk yield; FY305=305d adjusted fat yield; CE=calving ease; DO=days open

Table 7. Genetic correlation estimates between direct and maternal genetic effects for milk yields (MY305), fat yields (FY305), calving ease (CE), and days open (DO) at first lactation in a multivariate animal model using REML of Holstein cows from 1788 herds in Korea.

	MY305 _m	FY305 _m	CE _m	DO _m
MY305 _d	-0.448	-0.373	0.334	0.054
FY305 _d	-0.373	-0.396	0.220	0.060
CE _d	-0.033	0.192	-0.625	-0.157
DO _d	-0.164	0.099	-0.378	-0.318

MY305=305d adjusted milk yield; FY305=305d adjusted fat yield; CE=calving ease; DO=days open

Subscript _d = direct genetic effects; Subscript _m = maternal genetic effects

